**REVIEW** 

of the official reviewer of the dissertation work of <u>Ashimova Aitolkyn Berikkyzy</u> on the topic "Artificial Intelligence as an Instrument of Political Communication: Application and Global Forecasting", submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in the educational program "<u>8D03202 Media and Communications</u>"

No	Criteria	Compliance with the criteria (underline one of the answer options)	Justification of the official
		` '	reviewer's position (comments
			should be highlighted in italics)
1.	The topic of the dissertation (as of the date of its approval) corresponds to the directions of	1.1 Compliance with priority areas of scientific development or state programs:	The topic of the dissertation research, "Artificial Intelligence as a Tool of Political
	scientific development and/or state programs	1) the dissertation was completed within the framework of a project or target program financed from the state budget (indicate the name and number of the project or program);	Communication: Application and Global Forecasting," corresponds to the priority areas of political science development in the Republic of Kazakhstan, as
		2) the dissertation was completed within the framework of another state program (indicate the name of the program);	outlined in national programs and regulatory documents, including: the Strategic Development Plan of
		3) the dissertation corresponds to the priority direction of scientific development approved by the Higher Scientific and Technical Commission under the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan (indicate direction).	the Strategic Development Plan of the Republic of Kazakhstan until 2025 (Decree of the President of the Republic of Kazakhstan No. 636 dated February 15, 2018); the Law on Informatization of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated November 24, 2015, No. 418-V ZRK; and the national project "Quality Education 'Educated Nation'" (Resolution of the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated October 12,

2.	Importance for Science	The work makes/does not make a significant contribution to science, and its importance is/is not well explained.	
3.	The principle of independence	Level of independence:  1) high; 2) medium; 3) low; 4) no independence.	Aitolkyn Ashimova demonstrated a high level of independence in completing the dissertation research, which certainly indicates a personal contribution to the field of political science and journalism. The scientific results were obtained by the applicant independently based on a theoretical analysis of the works of domestic and foreign scientists, structuring and classifying information, systemic and comparative analysis of the results of international studies, and modeling of political communication.
4.	The principle of internal unity	<ul><li>4.1 Justification of the relevance of the dissertation:</li><li>1) justified;</li><li>2) partially justified;</li><li>3) not justified.</li></ul>	The relevance of the dissertation topic "Artificial Intelligence as a Tool of Political Communication: Application and Global Forecasting" is substantiated in a comprehensive and well-reasoned manner. The author described in detail the impact of global digitalization and artificial intelligence on the transformation of political communication, both in the international and Kazakhstani contexts. References

	are provided to strategic
	documents of the Republic of
	Kazakhstan (for example, the
	Strategic Development Plan until
	2025, the Law on Informatization,
	national projects), confirming the
	importance of the topic at the state
	level. In addition, the dynamics of
	AI development and its impact on
	political processes are reflected,
	including the growth of generative
	technologies and changes in the
	model of interaction between
	government and society.
4.2 The content of the dissertation reflects the topic of the dissertation:	The topic of the dissertation is
	fully disclosed in the content of
1) completely reflects;	the work. The structure of the
2) partially reflects;	dissertation is logical and covers
3) does not reflect.	all the key aspects of the stated
	topic. The first chapter is devoted
	to the historical and theoretical
	analysis of the development of
	artificial intelligence in the
	context of political
	communications, as well as its
	role in the media and global
	governance. The second chapter
	examines the processes of
	digitalization of the political
	sphere, as well as the concepts
	and methods of computer
	propaganda, demonstrating the
	practical application of AI in

	modern political communication.
	The third chapter contains a
	comprehensive analysis of the
	Kazakhstan context, including an
	assessment of the current state of
	AI, the construction of a global
	predictive model of political
	communication with AI and the
	analysis of an expert survey on
	the perception of AI in the
	political process. The work also
	presents regulatory sources,
	definitions of key terms and a
	questionnaire of experts, which
	confirms the scientific validity
	and practical focus of the study.
	Thus, the content of the
	dissertation is fully consistent
	with the stated topic.
4.3. The aim and objectives correspond to the topic of the dissertation:	The aim and objectives of the
	dissertation research logically
1) <u>correspond</u> ;	follow from the stated topic and
2) partially correspond;	cover key aspects: analysis of the
3) does not correspond.	impact of AI on political
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	communication, forecasting
	trends, research of the
	Kazakhstani and international
	context. The formulations are
	specific, justified and correspond
	to the direction of scientific
	research.
4.4 All sections and provisions of the dissertation are logically	The dissertation research has
interconnected:	internal unity, all sections are

		1)1-(-11-(-111	1
		1) completely interconnected;	logically interconnected; scientific
		2) partially interconnected;	provisions and obtained data
		3) no interconnection.	reflect the progress and results of
			solving the tasks set, are subject to
			a single research methodology. In
			general, the dissertation research
			is structured, characterized by the
			persuasiveness of the provisions
			put forward.
		4.5 The new solutions (principles, methods) proposed by the author are	The author has conducted a
		substantiated and evaluated in comparison with known solutions:	comprehensive critical analysis of
			existing approaches to the use of
			AI in political communication.
		1) contains critical analysis;	The work presents its own
		2) partial critical analysis;	conclusions and substantiates new
		3) the analysis does not represent personal opinions, but quotes from other	solutions, including a forecast
		authors;	model and the adaptation of
			SWOT and PEST methods to
		4) there is no analysis.	political discourse. A significant
		,	contribution is the expert survey
			among media specialists, political
			scientists and data analysts, the
			results of which are reasonably
			included in the analysis and
			confirm the stated hypotheses.
			The author demonstrates scientific
			independence and a high level of
			analytical elaboration of the
			material.
5.	The principle of scientific	5.1 Are the scientific results and provisions new?	The dissertation adheres to the
	novelty		principle of scientific novelty: the
		1) completely new;	dissertation contains new
L			

2) (11 (25.750)	' ('C' 11 1 1
2) partially new (25-75% are new);	scientifically substantiated
2) (1 1 250/	theoretical and empirical results,
3) not new (less than 25% are new).	the totality of which is of great
	importance for the development
	of political science and journalism
	in Kazakhstan. Main scientific
	results: theoretical and
	methodological prerequisites for
	the emergence of artificial
	intelligence are analyzed; basic
	aspects of the digitalization of
	political propaganda are
	identified; new means of political
	communication and social risks
	associated with the digitalization
	of public policy are described;
	methods of using artificial
	intelligence in the media are made
	public; the current state and
	prospects for the development of
	artificial intelligence in the media
	of Kazakhstan are determined; a
	model for global forecasting of
	the development of political
	communications with the
	integration of artificial
	intelligence based on the
	experience of Kazakhstan is
	developed.
5.2 Are the conclusions of the dissertation new?	The conclusions of the
1) completely new;	dissertation are completely new,
2) partially new (25-75% are new);	since for the first time in
	Kazakhstani scientific practice a

3) not new (less than 25% are new).	comprehensive analysis of the
	impact of artificial intelligence on
	political communication has been
	conducted. An original model of
	its transformation from linear to
	interactive and transactional has
	been developed, SWOT and PEST
	analyses have been applied to
	assess the development of AI in
	the political context of
	Kazakhstan. The novelty is also
	confirmed by the results of an
	expert survey, which revealed a
	positive perception of AI in
	politics among the professional
	community. These results have
	not previously been studied in a
	comprehensive manner.
5.3 Technical, technological, economic or managerial decisions are new	The dissertation presents
and justified:	completely new and substantiated
	technical, technological and
	managerial solutions. For the first
1) completely new;	time, a model for forecasting
2) partially new (25-75% are new);	political communication with AI
	integration has been developed,
3) not new (less than 25% are new).	adapted to the Kazakhstani
	context. The use of SWOT and
	PEST analysis allowed for a deep
	assessment of internal and
	external factors influencing the
	digital transformation of the
	political sphere. Methodologically
	and empirically substantiated

			conclusions open up opportunities for practical application in public administration, media and analytics.
6.	Validity of key findings	All key findings are based/not based on sound scientific evidence or are reasonably well supported (for qualitative research and arts and humanities majors).	All the main conclusions are based on scientifically sound evidence and are well-founded enough for a qualitative study in the humanities and social sciences. The author applied a comprehensive approach: used theoretical analysis, SWOT and PEST methods, conducted an expert survey and statistical data processing. This ensured the reliability of the results and the validity of the conclusions made.
7.	The main provisions submitted for defense	The following questions must be answered for each provision separately:	Provision 1 is proven, as the use of AI tools in political campaigns is confirmed by numerous studies
		7.1 Is the proposition proven?	and real cases, such as the
		1) proven;	activities of Cambridge Analytica.
		2) rather proven;	This proposition is not trivial,
		3) rather not proven;	since, despite the fact that bots are
		4) not proven;	used, the thesis about the potential transition of AI from an
		5) in the current formulation it is impossible to check the provenness of	intermediary to a key role in
		the proposition.	communication is a non-trivial
		7.2 Is it trivial?	statement. The novelty of the
		1) yes;	proposition requires clarification:
		2) <u>no;</u>	the first part (about the use of
		3) in the current formulation it is impossible to verify the triviality of the position.	bots) is not new, but the forecast

7.3 Is it new?	about the expansion of AI
1) <u>yes:</u>	functions may contain novelty.
2) no;	The level of application is broad,
3) in the current formulation it is impossible to verify the novelty of the	since the proposition concerns
provision.	global trends in political
7.4 Level of application:	communication.
1) narrow;	Provision 2 is proven because the
2) medium;	existence of generative AI models
3) wide;	(e.g., ChatGPT) and their growing
4) in the current wording it is impossible to verify the level of application	influence on content creation are
of the provision.	widely recognized facts. It is not trivial because, although the fact
of the provision.	of generative AI development is
7.5 Is the article proven?	widely known, the thesis about
1) <u>yes:</u>	the increasing complexity of
2) no;	audience interaction with AI
, ,	content and the emergence of AI
3) in its current formulation, it is impossible to verify the provenness of the position in the article.	assistants for navigation in the
the position in the article.	media space adds depth to the
	analysis. The proposition can be
	considered new because the role
	of AI assistants in media
	consumption has not yet been
	sufficiently studied. The level of
	application is wide, since it affects
	various areas, including media,
	marketing and education.
	Provision 3 is more likely to be
	proven if it is based on specific
	SWOT and PEST analysis data
	for Kazakhstan. Without reference
	to a specific study, its validity can
	be questioned. It is not trivial,

since a comprehensive analysis of the interaction of the state, business and population for the implementation of AI is a significant and non-obvious idea. Novelty depends on the context: if such studies have not been conducted for Kazakhstan before, the provision can be considered new. The level of application is medium, since it is focused on a specific country, but can be adapted for other developing economies. Provision 4 is proven, since the convergence of traditional media and digital platforms, as well as the change in the direction of information flows, are widely described in the scientific literature. It is not trivial, since the thesis about the potential transformation of communication platforms under the influence of AI goes beyond the generally known facts. The novelty of the provision lies in the forecast of a complete change in communication models due to AI, which has not yet been sufficiently studied. The level of application is broad, since it

media environment. Provision 5 is rather proven, s the connection between the development of AI and the transition from campaigning t personalized political marketi confirmed by a number of stu but requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since t opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication throt AI is a meaningful analysis. T novelty of the proposition is b since the topic of using gener AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of applic is average, since the focus on political communication narro the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientis and marketers. Provision 6 is proven, since t evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to historical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		
Provision 5 is rather proven, set the connection between the development of AI and the transition from campaigning to personalized political marketic confirmed by a number of sturbut but requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since to opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication through AI is a meaningful analysis. To novelty of the proposition is since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrotte audience, but the findings be useful for political scientistical and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		concerns global changes in the
the connection between the development of AI and the transition from campagining t personalized political marketic confirmed by a number of stutu but requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since t opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication through a meaningful analysis. The since the topic of using general AI is a meaningful analysis. The since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrough the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to historical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		
development of AI and the transition from campaigning t personalized political marketi confirmed by a number of stut but requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since t opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication throu AI is a meaningful analysis. T novelty of the proposition is h since the topic of using genera AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrot the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to historical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		Provision 5 is rather proven, since
transition from campaigning to personalized political marketic confirmed by a number of sturbut requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since to opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication through an advantage of the proposition is the since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application and the saverage, since the focus on political communication narrothe audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		
personalized political marketi confirmed by a number of stu but requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since t opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication throu AI is a meaningful analysis. T novelty of the proposition is h since the topic of using genera AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of applica is average, since the focus on political communication narro the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientisi and marketers. Provision 6 is proven, since th evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to historical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		development of AI and the
confirmed by a number of sturbut requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since to opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication through and individually oriented communication through and it is a meaningful analysis. To novelty of the proposition is home since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrous the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		transition from campaigning to
but requires deeper empirical testing. It is not trivial, since t opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication through AI is a meaningful analysis. To novelty of the proposition is he since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrothe audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		personalized political marketing is
testing. It is not trivial, since to opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication through and individually oriented communication through and is a meaningful analysis. It is a meaningful analysis. It is a meaningful analysis. It novelty of the proposition is his since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrough the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		confirmed by a number of studies,
opposition of traditional campaigning and individually oriented communication through the proposition is a meaningful analysis. The novelty of the proposition is a since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrothe audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		but requires deeper empirical
campaigning and individually oriented communication through AI is a meaningful analysis. To novelty of the proposition is he since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrough the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		testing. It is not trivial, since the
oriented communication through AI is a meaningful analysis. To novelty of the proposition is his since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrous the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		opposition of traditional
AI is a meaningful analysis. To novelty of the proposition is he since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrous the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		campaigning and individually
novelty of the proposition is h since the topic of using genera AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of applica is average, since the focus on political communication narro the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers. Provision 6 is proven, since th evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to historical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		oriented communication through
since the topic of using general AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of applicatis average, since the focus on political communication narrous the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		AI is a meaningful analysis. The
AI to personalize political discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrothe audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		novelty of the proposition is high,
discourse has not been studied much yet. The level of applica is average, since the focus on political communication narrot the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		since the topic of using generative
much yet. The level of application is average, since the focus on political communication narrout the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of mediation development. It is not trivial,		AI to personalize political
is average, since the focus on political communication narro the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		discourse has not been studied
political communication narrot the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		much yet. The level of application
the audience, but the findings be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		is average, since the focus on
be useful for political scientist and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		political communication narrows
and marketers.  Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		the audience, but the findings may
Provision 6 is proven, since the evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		be useful for political scientists
evolution of communication models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		and marketers.
models (linear, interactive, transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		Provision 6 is proven, since the
transactional) corresponds to thistorical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		evolution of communication
historical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		models (linear, interactive,
historical stages of media development. It is not trivial,		transactional) corresponds to the
development. It is not trivial,		
and properties of the		despite the popularity of the
models themselves, their		
application to political		

	1	1	
			communication with an emphasis
			on the role of AI adds analytical
			value. The novelty is moderate,
			since the models themselves are
			not new, but their adaptation to
			modern AI technologies can be
			considered relatively new. The
			level of application is broad, as
			the provision covers the evolution
			of media in a global context.
8.	Principle of reliability.	8.1 The choice of methodology is justified or the methodology is	The principle of reliability in the
		described in sufficient detail:	dissertation is observed. The
			reliability and validity of the
	Reliability of sources and	<u>1) yes;</u>	results of the dissertation research
	information provided		is ensured by the validity,
		2) no;	consistency of theoretical
			approaches to the phenomenon
			under study and compliance with
			scientific principles and rules for
			conducting research, adequate
			selection of research methods
			widely used in modern political
			science, their verification for
			reliability and complementarity.
			The reliability of the research is
			also achieved by relying on a
			sufficient number of foreign and
			domestic studies on artificial
			intelligence in the field of political
			communication.

8.2 The results of the dissertation work were obtained using modern methods of scientific research and methods of processing and interpreting data using computer technologies:	The dissertation uses modern methods of scientific research, including historical-philosophical, structural-functional and comparative analysis, as well as
1) yes;	content analysis. The IBM SPSS
2) no;	Statistics program was used to process the expert survey data, which ensured the reliability and interpretability of the results using computer technologies and statistical analysis methods.
8.3 Theoretical conclusions, models, identified relationships and patterns are proven and confirmed by experimental research (for areas of training in pedagogical sciences, the results are proven on the basis of a pedagogical experiment):	The theoretical conclusions, developed models, as well as the identified relationships and patterns were confirmed in the course of the experimental study. The conducted expert survey and statistical analysis of the data confirmed the validity and
1) yes;	reliability of the proposed
2) no;	concepts, which indicates the high scientific value and practical applicability of the dissertation results.
8.4 Important claims are supported/partially supported/not supported by references to relevant and reliable scientific literature.	Important claims in the dissertation are supported by references to relevant and reliable scientific literature, which ensures the scientific validity of the work. In some cases, the support is partial, which is due to the limited research in this area, but overall a

			wide range of modern sources is used.
		8.5 The literature sources used are sufficient/not sufficient for a literature review.	The sources of literature used are sufficient for a full-fledged literature review. They cover key areas and modern research on the topic of the dissertation, which allows for a comprehensive examination of the subject of the study and justification of the relevance and novelty of the work.
9 T	The principle of practical value	9.1 The dissertation has theoretical significance:	The work makes a significant
		1)	contribution to the development
		1) yes;	of theoretical foundations of the
		2) no.	interaction of artificial
			intelligence and political
			communication, proposing new
			models and approaches confirmed
			by empirical research and analysis
		0.0 (7)	of modern trends.
		9.2 The dissertation has practical significance and there is a high	The dissertation has practical
		probability of applying the obtained results in practice:	significance, and there is a high
			probability of applying the
		1) yes;	obtained results in practice. The developed models and
		· · ·	recommendations can be used by
		2) no.	government agencies, media,
			research centers and political
			organizations to improve
			communication strategies and

			introduce artificial intelligence
			into political processes, which
			contributes to increasing the
			effectiveness and relevance of
			political dialogue.
		9.3 The practical proposals are new:	The practical proposals are
			completely new, as they are based
		1) completely new;	on a comprehensive analysis of
		2) partially new (25-75% are new);	the impact of artificial intelligence
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	on political communication and
		3) not new (less than 25% are new).	media digitalization. The
			developed models and
			recommendations take into
			account modern technological and
			social trends, which allows them
			to be effectively applied in the
			context of the rapid development
			of digital technologies and a
			changing political environment.
10.	Quality of writing and	Quality of academic writing:	The quality of academic writing
	formatting	1) high;	of the dissertation can be assessed
		2) average;	as high. The text is structured
		3) below average;	logically, the argumentation is
		4) low.	consistent and supported by
			scientific data. The academic style
			used corresponds to the
			requirements of scientific
			publications, which contributes to
			a clear and convincing
11			presentation of the material.
11. Comments on the dissertation The author demonstrates a high level of knowledge of the topic, which sometimes lead			
		saturated with terms and concepts. Clarification of individual definitions	would help make the presentation

	doctoral student's articles on the research topic (in the case of defending a dissertation in the form of a series of articles, official reviewers comment on the scientific level of each doctoral student's article on the research topic)  Television Consumption in Kazakhstan", 2023) and the Journal of Information Policy ("Digital I Tool for Identifying Fake News: A Comparative Analysis Using the Example of European and K Media", 2025), included in the Scopus database, which indicates recognition of the quality of the conducted at the international level. The topics of the articles fully correspond to the dissertation research, covering both theoretical aspects - the development of artificial intelligence communication, media automation (New Horizons of Visualization: the Role of AI in Modern Jo Kazakhstan, SWOT and PEST Analysis of Artificial Intelligence in Kazakhstan), and applied one literacy, media consumption, analytical methods (Digital Media Preferences in the Focus of Social SwOT Analysis of the Current State and Prospects for the Development of Artificial Intelligence (Kazakhstan's Media Industry). The methodological elaboration of the materials is manifested in the modern methods of scientific analysis: SWOT and PEST analysis, comparative analysis, expert sempirical research. It is worth noting separately the interdisciplinary approach, combining knowl methods from media studies, political science, sociology and information technology, which give student's scientific work both novelty and analytical depth.	
13.	Decision of the official reviewer (in accordance with paragraph 28 of these Model Regulations)	Dissertation research of Ashimova Aitolkyn "Artificial Intelligence as an Instrument of Political Communication: Application and Global Forecasting" is a completed scientific research. The work is performed at a high level and the applicant Ashimova Aitolkyn deserves to be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in the educational program "8D03202 - Media and Communications".

## Official Reviewer:

Candidate of Philological Sciences, Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Psycholinguistics,

A. Baitursynuly Institute of Linguistics

Персоналды басқару, кужаттамамен қамтамасыз ету және бақылау бөлімі институты» РМК Персоналды басқару, күжаттамамен қамтамасыз ету және бақылау бөлімі институты» РМК Персоналды басқару, күжаттамамен қамтамасыз ету және бақылау бөлімі институты» РМК Персоналды басқару, күжаттамамен қамтамасыз ету және бақылау бөлімі 2025 ж.